

Teaching Log

Session #: 2 Date of Session: Jan 27-28, 2020 # of hours: 2h

Type of education activity (1:1 ambulatory, program meeting, etc.) / Name of education program: Vulgarization of
medical topics and application of Knowles theory

Location / hospital*: At home

Student's Initials / # of participants*: 2 for each session

Education level of student / participants (UG, PG, etc.):* CEGEP/Family members

Positive aspects of session: They asked me to talk to them about 4 topics of their choice so the participants were very
interested. The application of certain concepts of Knowles' theory fostered the engagement of participants.

I used a lot of online tools to respond to their questions.

Difficulties of session: I wanted to recreate the discussions with patients and families by applying Knowles' theory.

I realized that even though I have read about the theory, I have to practice to apply it naturally during my interviews.

Reflection and interpretation of session (analysis): Both teaching sessions went well. As a geriatrician, I teach patients and
families on a daily basis (when I work). However, the application of a theory requires adaptation. My teaching habits are
changing and I have to practice to be more comfortable.

I saw that Knowles' theory had a positive impact on adult learners.

Consideration of future strategies for managing similar sessions: During my next meetings with patients and families,
I'll apply only one concept of Knowles theory.

My goal is to get used to it and see the benefits for my teaching and the difficulties i've encountered.

Then I can gradually apply another concept.

Reviewed: At Practicum Meeting With Master Teacher: _____

Date Discussed: _____ Not Discussed

* Do not post any details online or electronically which may represent a breach of privacy guidelines

Also available online: dfcm.utoronto.ca/landing-page/afgs

Presentation Evaluation Form

The presenter:

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
1. The presenter was well organized and prepared.					✓
2. The presenter was knowledgeable about the topic.					✓
3. The present maintained my interest during the entire presentation.					✓
4. The presenter answered questions effectively					✓

The Presentation:

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
1. The presentation was concise and informative.				✓	
2. The visual aids were effective.					
3. Overall I would rate this presentation as:					✓

Additional Comments or Suggestions:

*Excellente présentatrice
qui connaît les sujets
dont elle s'applique
avec beaucoup d'intérêt
et de facilité à nous
faire bien comprendre
merci beaucoup
Bravo
Danielle Garnier Simard*

Thank you!

Presentation Evaluation Form

The presenter:

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
1. The presenter was well organized and prepared.				✓	
2. The presenter was knowledgeable about the topic.					✓
3. The present maintained my interest during the entire presentation.					✓
4. The presenter answered questions effectively					✓

The Presentation:

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
1. The presentation was concise and informative.				✓	
2. The visual aids were effective.					
3. Overall I would rate this presentation as:					✓

Additional Comments or Suggestions:

TRÈS BONNE PRÉSENTATION
INTÉRESSANTE ET
INSTRUCTIVE BRAVO.

P. G. S. Simard
28.01.2020

Thank you!